

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,300	07/09/2003	Melvin D. Frerking	20009.0039USC2(01055C2)	7112
	7590 08/21/2007 EYS FOR BELL SOUTH	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 713	55	TSO, EDWARD H		
MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s):				
	10/615,300	FRERKING ET AL. X				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward Tso	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office fater than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Il apply, and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEE	he mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Jul	ne 2007					
	action is non-final.	*				
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•				
Disposition of Claims						
<u></u>	in the condination					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-65,67-75 and 77-91</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) <u>53-65, 78-80 and 86-91</u> is/are allowed.		:				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>77 and 81</u> is/are rejected.		!				
7) Claim(s) 67-75,82-85 is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		.e.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		<b>:</b>				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	* · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 H S C & 110(a).	: -(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 05 c.c.c. § 119(a)	(d) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	:				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d				
ii.		1				
		:				
Attachment(s)	4)	(DTO 412)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/12/2007</u> .	6)	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/615,300

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 77 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no specific connection on how the voltage can be determined just based on the device-specific charging cord. For all intent purpose, the device-specific cord could have had a different end connector for a specific device, i.e. different cellular phones have different charging cords. If this scenario were the case, it cannot be seen how the voltage of the battery can be determined from the cord. The other sets of claims were deemed allowable because they include the specific resistor in the specific charging cord.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 58-65, 78-80 and 86-91 are allowed.

Claims 67-75 and 82-85 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to over the 112 rejection.

Art Unit: 2838

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By: /Edward H Tso/

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087